



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| • | | | | |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/675,430 | 09/29/2000 | Timothy J. Tucker | TDT-01 | 3859 |
| 29847 7: | 590 06/26/2003 | | | |
| VAN DYKE & ASSOCIATES, P.A. | | | EXAMINER | |
| 7200 LAKE ELLENOR DRIVE, SUITE 252 ORLANDO, FL 32809 | | | LE, HUYEN D | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | • | 2643 | + |
| , | | DATE MAIL ED: 06/26/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A



Application No. 09/675,430

Applicant(s)

Tucker et al.

Examiner

Office Action Summary

HUYEN LE

Art Unit 2643



| - | The MAILING DATE of this communication appears o | n the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | or Reply | TO EVENE 4 MONTHUO EDOM | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | |
| | | o event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the | | | |
| mailing | date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the | statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. | | | |
| - If NO | period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply an to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the | d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. | | | |
| - Any re | ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of th | is communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any | | | |
| earned Status | patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |
| 1) 🗌 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | |
| 2a) 🗌 | This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This action | | | | |
| 3) 🗆 | Since this application is in condition for allowance e | xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| -,- | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposi | tion of Claims | | | | |
| 4) 💢 | Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> | is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| 4 | la) Of the above, claim(s) | is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | |
| 5) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) 🗆 | Claim(s) | is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) 💢 | | are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Applica | ation Papers | | | | |
| 9) 🗆 | The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 10) 🗆 | The drawing(s) filed on is/are | a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner. | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr | | | | |
| 11) | | is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner. | | | |
| | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t | | | | |
| 12) | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin | ner. | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| a) [| ☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of: | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received. | | | |
| | 2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have | e been received in Application No | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea | ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | |
| *S | ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the | | | | |
| 14) | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). | | | |
| a) [| The translation of the foreign language provisiona | | | | |
| 15)□ | Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic | priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | |
| Attachm | nent(s) | | | | |
| _ | otice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 In | formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 6) Other: | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/675,430 Page 2

Art Unit: 2643

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-30, drawn to a process of manufacture of electrostatic speakers, classified in class 29, subclass 594.
 - II. Claims 31-32, drawn to a method of producing sound by a speaker that is integral to a printed circuit board, classified in class 381, subclass 111.
 - III. Claim 33, drawn to an apparatus of an electrostatic speaker, classified in class 381, subclass 191.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions and are related as process of making and process of using the product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product. Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making and method of using. The product claim will be examined along with the elected invention (MPEP § 806.05(I)).
- 3. Inventions I, II and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,430

Art Unit: 2643

- 4. Inventions II and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the construction of an electrostatic speaker does not require to be integrally constructed to a printed circuit board, and the sound in a situation that involves MRI of a patient.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the search required for Group I is not required for Groups II and III, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Joseph Fischer on June 16, 2003 on to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

 Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/675,430

Art Unit: 2643

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 7.

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently

named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the

fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner 8. should be directed to Huyen Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-4844. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Curtis Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4708.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-5631.

Any response to this action should be failed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

HL

June 18, 2003

Page 4